



City of Twin Falls

Transportation Master Plan

Public Meeting #2

Monday, April 14, 2008

7:00 to 9:00 p.m.

CSI - Taylor Admin. Room #277

Comment Form #2 / April 2008

Draft Plan Recommendations

Public Mtg Comments received 4-14-08

I. Attendance

- L "J" Muir
- Lynn Baird
- Dick Boyd
- Gary L. Young
- Chris Wilhaus
- Linda S. Wills
- Mary J. Inman
- Jackie Fields
- **Planning Team**
 - Kyle Comer, Civil Science
 - Rob Ramsey, Civil Science
 - Matt Rifkin, Interplan
 - Amber Buckley, Civil Science
 - _____, Civil Science
 - Mike Pepper, KMP Planning

II. Improvement Project Recommendations

Do you support the list of improvement project recommendations? Yes 2 No 2

If not, what changes would you make to the project list?

- Wendell/Martin; Filer to Addison. Carriage Lane; North end to Falls Ave. Carriage Lane; South end to Kimberly Road. Caswell/ Meadows Lane; Harrison to Fillmore. Caswell/Spruce; Blue Lakes to Locust
- You forgot Harrison St. at the half mile between Poleline and North College.
- Harrison St. between Poleline and North College Road needs to be put into the plan. It is on the half mile on a stage four road (Poleline) and would connect to the frontage road (North College).

What are your top 3 most important transportation improvement projects?

- Response #1
 - Harrison St. expanded between Poleline Road and North College
 - North College is the only East-West road to get across town
- Response #2
 - #18 Fillmore St.
 - #7 Filer Ave.
 - #15

- Response #3
 - Turn outs and bus stops for public trams when we become urban
- Response #4
 - Turn outs for public transportation
 - Factor in “outside” traffic- shoppers, workers from immediate area (25 mile radius)
 - Regional concept
- Response #5
 - In 2010 Twin Falls city will be “renewed.” About that time, the Magic Valley will become the Snake River Canyon National Heritage area. Both will attract many, many tourists to add to the thousands of people from around the valley who take I-84 to the North end of Twin Falls to shop. Now is the time to plan for public transportation from the North end to downtown Twin Falls. The town will be ready, the Magic Valley will be ready for the Heritage Tourism, the people will come, and the money will come. We need public transportation; a monorail!
 - Reroute SH 30 to avoid the 2nd Avenues, make them 2-way with angle parking and landscaping
 - Change the 45mph sign to 35mph on Washington just south of No. College Rd...one of the hardest intersections to cross, especially with the speed of cars on Washington.

III. Goals

Please rank the transportation system goals according to your order of importance. 1 – most important, 2 next important, etc.

Response #1

- | | | |
|---------|-------------------------|---|
| __ 3 __ | Connectivity: | Complete street, sidewalk and bikepath connections |
| __ 4 __ | Trucking: | Truck routes and roadway design to accommodate trucks |
| __ 5 __ | Coordination/Planning: | Coordinate with other agencies and completed plans |
| __ 2 __ | Public transportation: | Accommodate current and future transit needs |
| __ 8 __ | Pedestrians / Bicycles: | Facilities that support safe “walk-ability” and “bike-ability” |
| __ 6 __ | Design/Operation: | Appropriate design standards, minimize impacts, traffic calming |
| __ 1 __ | Capacity / Congestion: | Lane capacity to meet traffic demands, minimize congestion |
| __ 7 __ | Maintenance: | Maintain or enhance the condition of streets, sidewalks, etc. |

Response #2

- | | | |
|---------|-------------------------|---|
| __ 2 __ | Connectivity: | Complete street, sidewalk and bike path connections |
| __ 6 __ | Trucking: | Truck routes and roadway design to accommodate trucks |
| __ 5 __ | Coordination/Planning: | Coordinate with other agencies and completed plans |
| __ 8 __ | Public transportation: | Accommodate current and future transit needs |
| __ 7 __ | Pedestrians / Bicycles: | Facilities that support safe “walk-ability” and “bike-ability” |
| __ 4 __ | Design/Operation: | Appropriate design standards, minimize impacts, traffic calming |
| __ 3 __ | Capacity / Congestion: | Lane capacity to meet traffic demands, minimize congestion |
| __ 1 __ | Maintenance: | Maintain or enhance the condition of streets, sidewalks, etc. |

Response #3

- | | | |
|---------|-------------------------|---|
| __ 1 __ | Connectivity: | Complete street, sidewalk and bike path connections |
| __ 2 __ | Trucking: | Truck routes and roadway design to accommodate trucks |
| _____ | Coordination/Planning: | Coordinate with other agencies and completed plans |
| __ 1 __ | Public transportation: | Accommodate current and future transit needs |
| _____ | Pedestrians / Bicycles: | Facilities that support safe “walk-ability” and “bike-ability” |
| __ 1 __ | Design/Operation: | Appropriate design standards, minimize impacts, traffic calming |
| __ 1 __ | Capacity / Congestion: | Lane capacity to meet traffic demands, minimize congestion |
| _____ | Maintenance: | Maintain or enhance the condition of streets, sidewalks, etc. |

“This has always been the poor 2nd cousin – very important”

Response #4

- Connectivity: Complete street, sidewalk and bike path connections
- Trucking: Truck routes and roadway design to accommodate trucks
- Coordination/Planning: Coordinate with other agencies and completed plans
- Public transportation: Accommodate current and future transit needs
- Pedestrians / Bicycles: Facilities that support safe “walk-ability” and “bike-ability”
- Design/Operation: Appropriate design standards, minimize impacts, traffic calming
- Capacity / Congestion: Lane capacity to meet traffic demands, minimize congestion
- Maintenance: Maintain or enhance the condition of streets, sidewalks, etc.

Response #5

- Connectivity: Complete street, sidewalk and bike path connections
- Trucking: Truck routes and roadway design to accommodate trucks
- Coordination/Planning: Coordinate with other agencies and completed plans
- Public transportation: Accommodate current and future transit needs
- Pedestrians / Bicycles: Facilities that support safe “walk-ability” and “bike-ability”
- Design/Operation: Appropriate design standards, minimize impacts, traffic calming
- Capacity / Congestion: Lane capacity to meet traffic demands, minimize congestion
- Maintenance: Maintain or enhance the condition of streets, sidewalks, etc.

IV. Priorities

What is your priority for the type of projects that the city should fund and pursue? 1 – most important, 2 – next important, etc., 5 – not important at all

Response #1

- 2 Capital improvement projects: Addl. lanes, new road connections, widening
- 3 Intersection improvements: Turn lanes, signals, etc.
- 4 Correct street and sidewalk deficiencies: Missing curbs and sidewalks, etc.
- 5 Neighborhood street renovation: Street reconstruction, sidewalk replacement
- 1 Maintenance of existing streets/sidewalks: Fill potholes, resurface streets, etc.

Response #2

- x Capital improvement projects: Addl. lanes, new road connections, widening
 - x Intersection improvements: Turn lanes, signals, etc.
 - x Correct street and sidewalk deficiencies: Missing curbs and sidewalks, etc.
 - x Neighborhood street renovation: Street reconstruction, sidewalk replacement
 - x Maintenance of existing streets/sidewalks: Fill potholes, resurface streets, etc.
- “All are important”**

Response #3

- x Capital improvement projects: Addl. lanes, new road connections, widening
- Intersection improvements: Turn lanes, signals, etc.
- Correct street and sidewalk deficiencies: Missing curbs and sidewalks, etc.
- Neighborhood street renovation: Street reconstruction, sidewalk replacement
- Maintenance of existing streets/sidewalks: Fill potholes, resurface streets, etc.

Response #4

- x Capital improvement projects: Addl. lanes, new road connections, widening,
- Intersection improvements: Turn lanes, signals, etc.
- Correct street and sidewalk deficiencies: Missing curbs and sidewalks, etc.
- Neighborhood street renovation: Street reconstruction, sidewalk replacement
- Maintenance of existing streets/sidewalks: Fill potholes, resurface streets, etc.

V. Funding

Would you be willing to pay additional taxes or fees to support transportation system improvements?

Yes 3 No 0 1 “What other options are available?”

VI. Other Comments

Please provide any additional comments on the information provided

Response #1

- Bad estimate on the cost of #11, just the signal
- Changes would exceed \$100,000
- Revise limits of projects

Response #2

- Cheney St. West should not be a bypass road. Poleline Road is a bypass road. Bypass roads are limited access roads, why have two roads next to each other that are both bypass with limited access on both!!

Response #3

- The College of Southern Idaho should not have the power to affect roads that hurt their neighbors. We all should have property rights. Those rights include good access and roads to other property.

Response #4

- Public transportation – why not think about removing vehicles rather than trying to accommodate. The “Baby Boomers” are going to want/demand services to include public transportation.
- Road tax on all vehicles.